| **Risk Log Matrix 2016**  *Impact (I) – 6 highest, 1 lowest; Probability (P) – 6 highest, 1 lowest* | | | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Description** | **Category** | **Impact & Probability** | **Counter measures / Management response** |
|  | **Enter a brief description of the risk** |  | **Describe the potential effect on the project if this risk were to occur** | **What actions have been taken/will be taken to counter this risk** |
| 1. | On-going political instability | Political | Rising levels of mistrust among political parties may complicate process of developing shared agendas at local level.  I = 5; P = 5 | Outreach and sensitization of GoN counter-parts; consultations with GoN and political parties; involvement of Multi-sectoral Dialogue Forum (MSDF) to engage political actors and resolve issues of confusion. |
| 2. | Outbreak of violence or serious deterioration of the security environment | Political  Security | Adverse impact on programme implementation in districts affected by political tension at local level.  I = 6; P = 3 | Consultation with GoN to determine which activities can continue at subnational level; continue to work with individuals (Government, political and civil society) as part of MSDF; technical and financial support to MSDFs to resolve crisis; continue partnerships with CSOs to ensure that some crisis response and dispute resolution work can continue. |
| 3. | Unknown structure of Local Government Units (LGUs) | Political | Project activities at local level may be delayed and possibly need to be re-adjusted, especially once a new structure of LGUs take place.  I = 5; P = 5 | Project activities designed to be flexible enough to adapt geographical focus and scope to address changes in context and structure of local level units. |
| 4. | Absence of elected representatives at the local level | Political | Absence of elected bodies and VDC Secretaries may adversely affect project implementation at local level.  I = 4; P = 5 | Programme specific measures and systems to be developed to counterbalance effect of absence of local level elected representatives. Work with CDOs, LDOs and CSOs to encourage conflict-sensitive planning processes. |
| 5. | Local Government Restructuring Technical Support Committees under DDC offices, exposed to face political pressure and individual threats | Political | The operational, movement and regularity of programme implementation will be disturbed by the agitating groups opposing the process of LGU delineation  I = 5; P = 4 | Basic Operating Guidelines will be applied and UN’s neutral role will be communicated. Continue to work with CDOs, LDOs and CSOs and convening members of “Multi-sectoral Dialogue Forums”. |
| 6. | Difficulties in identifying and fielding qualified experts in a timely manner. | Operational | Project implementation delayed.  I = 4; P = 3 | Mobilize support from regional partners to expand pool of experts, establishment of LTA/LOAs, etc. |
| 7. | Limited capacity of implementing parties/ GoN, local bodies, service providers | Strategic and regulatory | Delay in programme implementation.  I = 5; P = 5 | Investing more on capacity development of different stakeholders; support to “Multi-sectoral Dialogue Forums” for crisis response capacity and outreach. |